

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/078,981	02/20/2002	Steven P. Bermes	UP-273	6527
7590 06/17/2004			EXAMINER	
George Pappas			CHOI, STEPHEN	
Pappas Law Office Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
919 S. Harrison Street			3724	
Fort Wayne, IN	I 46802	DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/078,981	BERMES, STEVEN P.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen Choi	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-18 and 22-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-21 and 27-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠ -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See don is required if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/078,981 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 19 and 27-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogletree (US 2,109,303).

Regarding claims 19 and 27, Ogletree discloses all the recited elements of the invention including:

- a) a tool body (5);
- b) a cavity extending into the tool body (Figure 3);
- c) a cutting blade (9);
- d) a pair of opposing walls (a,c) in the cavity located generally parallel with the cutting blade wherein the opposing walls are equidistant from the cutting blade.

Regarding claims 28-34, Ogletree discloses all the recited elements of the invention including:

- e) a pair of walls (a,c);
- f) a cutting edge (10) located between the walls;
- g) the walls (a,c) are equidistant from the cutting edge and located in planes parallel with one another (Figure 4);

Application/Control Number: 10/078,981 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

h) a pair of opposing retaining walls (b,d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogletree (US 2,109,303).

Ogletree discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the tool body made of plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the body made of plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 29 March 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that the phrase "monofilament line" provides a positive limitation to the claimed invention.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. The phrase "monofilament line" merely indicates a possible use of the claimed invention and it does not recite any essential structure necessary to give life, meaning and vitality to the claim. Furthermore, the body of the claim does not depend on the phrase "monofilament line" for completeness

Art Unit: 3724

describing the structure of the claimed invention. Thus, the phrase "monofilament line" does not provide a positive limitation to the claimed invention.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 703-306-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/078,981 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sc June 16, 2004

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINES